COMMITTEE REPORT

Date: 20 March 2019 **Ward:** Rural West York

Team: Major and **Parish:** Nether Poppleton Parish

Commercial Team Council

Reference: 16/02545/FULM

Application at: London Ebor Developments Plc Millfield Business Centre

Millfield Lane Nether Poppleton York

For: Change of use from warehouse (use class B8) to gym (use

class D2)

By: Mr Stewart Gray
Application Type: Full Application
Target Date: 23 November 2018

Recommendation: Approve

1.0 PROPOSAL

- 1.1 Planning permission is sought for the change of use of part of the building (Use Class B2, B8) to a gym (Use Class D2). The proposed floor layout show 3 separate rooms for the gym activities with one of the rooms with gym equipment and the other 2 rooms to be used for classes. Classes are expected to cater for between 20 to 25 participants with a maximum due to room size of 30 participants. There are expected to be 10 classes per day. The proposed use would employ 6 full time positions and 10 part time positions. An ancillary cafe would also be proposed. With the exception of the alterations to the car park layout no external alterations are proposed.
- 1.2 The existing car park is shared with the other uses within the Millfield Centre (circa 18 units). Through the application process revised plans have been submitted extending the red line to include the parking area for the Millfield Centre. The proposed use would have 52 allocated vehicle parking spaces and a further 6 spaces available after 17.00 hours and 15 Sheffield stands providing 30 cycle parking spaces.
- 1.3 The anticipated peak times are after 18.00 hours. The proposed opening hours would be :

Monday to Friday 06.00 to 22.00 hours Saturdays, Sundays 07.00 to 20.00 hours

1.4 The site is surrounded by industrial units to the north, east and south. Manor School is to the west.

2.0 POLICY CONTEXT

Application Reference Number: 16/02545/FUL

Page 1 of 11

- 2.1 The City of York Draft Local Plan Incorporating the Fourth Set of Changes was approved for Development Management purposes in April 2005:
 - CYSP6 Location strategy
 - CYSP7A The sequential approach to development
 - CYSP8 Reducing dependence on the car
 - CYGP1 Design
 - CYGP4A Sustainability
 - CYT4 Cycle parking standards
 - CYE3B Existing and Proposed Employment Sites
- 2.2 The Publication Draft York Local Plan
 - DP4 Approach to Development Management
 - EC2 Loss of Employment Land
 - R1 Retail Hierarchy and Sequential Approach
 - HW3 Built Sport Facilities
 - D1 Placemaking
 - ENV1 Air Quality
 - ENV2 Managing Environmental Quality
 - T1 Sustainable Access
 - T7 Minimising and Accommodating Generated Trips

3.0 CONSULTATIONS

INTERNAL CONSULTATIONS

HIGHWAY NETWORK MANAGEMENT

- 3.1 No objections, the applicant has provided details of car park allocation and operation. Still have concerns over the displacement of other cars currently occupying the car park. The management company have been reluctant to move these cars in the preceding months, so some doubts that they are capable of implementing their 'permit scheme'. However, should the allocation plan be adhered to, the gym should be acceptable in terms of their own parking. As pointed out, the applicant and overarching Management Company, should not need to provide parking or indeed measures to mitigate indiscriminate parking caused by insufficient space made available by other businesses.
- 3.2 Request Condition HWAY19.

PUBLIC PROTECTION

3.3 Request condition for one electric vehicle recharging point and INF11

Application Reference Number: 16/02545/FUL

Page 2 of 11

FORWARD PLANNING

- 3.4 Given the advanced stage of the emerging Plan's preparation, the lack of significant objection to the emerging policies relevant to this application and the stated consistency with the Framework, advise that the policy requirements of emerging Plan policies EC2 and R1 should be applied with moderate weight.
- 3.5 The key policy test for the change of use from warehouse to leisure is whether the site is needed for employment use. Whilst a sufficiently detailed economic statement has not been submitted by the applicant have been advised to look at marketing information submitted for a separate application for the same site which demonstrates that the property is no longer fit for purpose. It is also noted that the proposed use as a gym whilst outside of the B use classes is still an employment generating use.
- 3.6 The principle of a D2 leisure use in this location can only be supported subject to detailed site specific considerations including the sequential test. This has been completed by the applicant who concluded there are no sequentially preferable sites. Agree there are no sequentially preferable sites for the proposals at this time.
- 3.7 On the basis of our analysis and conclusion, would not raise a policy objection to this application, subject to any comments from colleagues in economic growth on the loss of B use employment in this location.

ECONOMIC GROWTH TEAM

- 3.8 Object, would result in the loss of over 20,000 sq. ft. of good quality B1 (c) employment space in close proximity to York's major road network. The Economic Growth service objects to this proposed loss of employment space and advocates that the use of the property for light industrial space should be retained to support business growth and employment in York. There are currently close to 9,000 manufacturing and construction jobs in York and the loss of suitable employment space across York represents a barrier to business growth
- 3.9 Both anecdotal evidence through business engagement and recent industrial availability data provided by Co-Star demonstrates a strong demand for industrial space. Make It York have reported a strong demand for premises through their Key Account Management visits to local businesses with a large number of searches being requested for light industrial units. This is supported by recent availability data provided by Co-Star which shows an overall vacancy rate of 2% for industrial space in York indicating strong demand with little under construction.
- 3.10 data indicates that "York's vacancy rate has come down sharply in recent years, from over 10% in 2011 to below 2% in 16Q1, where it has stayed since. For more than two years, the market has been among the three tightest in the UK. Most

Application Reference Number: 16/02545/FUL

of the available industrial space in York was leased during a period of strong demand from 2014 through early 2016. Leasing activity has been muted since, with little available in the nearly full-occupancy market. On the supply side, there has been a persistent lack of new deliveries that has aided vacancy compression, making York the tightest market in the Yorkshire and Humber region.

3.11 The market's low vacancy rate and lack of development could hinder future demand". Given the shortage of industrial accommodation in York, the Economic Growth service objects to the proposed planning application. The employment space provided at Millfield Business Centre is important to support business growth in York and create jobs for local people and therefore its use as light industrial space should be retained.

EXTERNAL CONSULTATIONS/REPRESENTATIONS

NETHER POPPLETON PARISH COUNCIL

- 3.12 Object, Insufficient parking for users. High potential for parking on the road creating further obstructions on the bus route and lorries for accessing trade premises
- 3.13 Inappropriate use of business park premises
- 3.14 The development of the former Civil Service Site will create additional pressures on this section of the road
- 3.15 Manor Academy should be consulted as there will be an impact on the student safety with the above caveats on parking,

PUBLICITY AND NEIGHBOUR NOTIFICATION

3.16 ONE REPRESENTATION OF COMMENTS

 There would be demand for a larger area for car parking than is currently provided. There needs to be sufficient access for HGVs delivering to the surrounding business units. Concern there would be parking along neighbouring roads and in other business allocated parking spaces.

4.0 APPRAISAL

KEY ISSUES

- Location of the use and loss of industrial accommodation
- Highways
- Employment

Application Reference Number: 16/02545/FUL

Page 4 of 11

ASSESSMENT

PLANNING POLICY

4.1 Section 38(6) of the Planning and Compensation Act 2004 requires that determinations be made in accordance with the development plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise.

PUBLICATION DRAFT YORK LOCAL PLAN (2018)

- 4.2 The Publication Draft City of York Local Plan 2018 ('2018 Draft Plan') was submitted for examination on 25 May 2018. In accordance with paragraph 48 of the NPPF as revised in February 2019, the relevant 2018 Draft Plan policies can be afforded weight according to:
 - The stage of preparation of the emerging plan (the more advanced the preparation, the greater the weight that may be given);
 - The extent to which there are unresolved objections to relevant policies (the less significant the unresolved objections, the greater the weight that may be given); and
 - The degree of consistency of the relevant policies in the emerging plan to the policies in the previous NPPF published in March 2012. (NB: Under transitional arrangements plans submitted for examination before 24 January 2019 will be assessed against the 2012 NPPF).
- 4.3 The evidence base underpinning the 2018 Draft Plan is capable of being a material consideration in the determination of planning applications.
- 4.4 The revised National Planning Policy Framework was published on 19 February 2019 (NPPF) and its planning policies are material to the determination of planning applications. It is against the NPPF (as revised) and the saved RSS policies relating to the general extent of the York Green Belt that this proposal should principally be assessed.

DRAFT DEVELOPMENT CONTROL LOCAL PLAN (2005)

4.5 The City of York Draft Local Plan Incorporating the Fourth Set of Changes was approved for Development Management purposes in April 2005 (DCLP). Whilst the DCLP does not form part of the statutory development plan, its policies are considered to be capable of being material considerations in the determination of planning applications where policies relevant to the application are consistent with those in the NPPF as revised in February 2019, although the weight that can be afforded to them is very limited.

Application Reference Number: 16/02545/FUL

Page 5 of 11

POPPLETON NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN (2017)

4.6 Policy PNP7 of the Neighbourhood Plan states proposals for new business development on established business parks in the Plan Area will be supported where they provide car parking for staff and customers to City of York Council standards at the time of the determination of the applications. The supporting text sets out the following: Millfield Lane is a linear business park with small units employing 10 or less. It is located opposite to Manor Academy and the area is congested at peak hours with school traffic. It is considered by employers to be a convenient site. It has capacity for additional businesses in the current premises.

POPPLETON VILLAGE DESIGN STATEMENT (2003)

4.7 The Poppleton Village Design Statement has a number of policies relevant to the application: Guidelines 29, 38, and 39

LOCATION OF THE USE AND LOSS OF INDUSTRIAL ACCOMMODATION

- 4.8 Policy E3b of the Draft 2005 Local Plan seek to keep all employment uses in such use, unless there is an adequate supply of alternative premises over the plan period or where the proposed use will lead to significant benefits to the local economy. Policy EC2 of the Draft Local Plan (2018) sets out that if the proposal would result in a loss of employment land the applicants should provide information demonstrating the existing land and or buildings are demonstrably not viable in terms of market attractiveness, business operations, condition and/or compatibility with adjacent uses; and the proposal would not lead to the loss of an employment site that is necessary to meet employment needs during the plan period. The broad intention of these policies does not conflict in principle with the NPPF.
- 4.9 The NPPF states a sequential test is required for main town centre uses that are not within an existing centre. When considering out of centre proposals preference should be given to accessible sites that are well connected to the town centre. The applicant has submitted a sequential test and they demonstrate that the proposed use could not be located within the city centre. The floor area of the proposed use does not meet the threshold for the submission of an impact assessment.
- 4.10 The Economic Growth Team have expressed concern regarding the loss of the industrial use, and that the vacancy rate for business unit is very low (2%) and the York market is one of the most constrained in the UK- there is a strong demand with little under construction. The proposed unit is circa 1000 sq metres. Despite requests the applicant did not submit an economic statement however information was submitted for a recent application for the same unit (18/02080/FUL also before cllrs at this committee meeting). The unit has been marketed since May 2016 and has been widely advertised. The selling/letting agents advise they have received 16

Application Reference Number: 16/02545/FUL

enquiries and the interest has been shown in the site for mainly for leisure uses. The letting agents state there are varying reasons why the site is not attractive for industrial use these include the unit's low internal heights, and poor large vehicle access/circulation within the site. The proposal would result in the loss of the building/units being available for business use and would therefore negatively impact on the City's employment land.

- 4.11 The NPPF is clear in that Planning should operate to encourage and not act as an impediment to sustainable growth. The NPPF states planning policies should avoid the long term protection of sites allocated for employment use where there is no reasonable prospect of a site being used for that purpose. Land allocations should be regularly reviewed. Where there is no reasonable prospect of a site being used for the allocated employment use, applications for alternative uses of land or buildings should be treated on their merits having regarded to market signals and the relative need for different land uses to support sustainable local communities.
- 4.12 There is a presumption in favour of sustainable development which, for decision taking, means approving without delay development proposals that accord with the development plan. Where the development plan is absent, silent or relevant policies are out of date, planning permission should be granted unless: (1) any adverse impacts would significantly and demonstrably outweigh the benefits, when assessed against the policies in the Framework taken as a whole; or (2) specific policies in the Framework indicate development should be restricted (paragraph 11). Current Government policy is to assist the economy, sustainable development proposals should be allowed unless they would compromise the key sustainable development principles set out in national planning policy. Local planning authorities should seek to approve applications for sustainable development where possible and work with applicants to secure developments that improve the economic, social and environmental conditions of the area (paragraph 38). Planning policies and decisions should, among other things, plan positively for the provision of community facilities. Planning should encourage and not impede sustainable growth therefore significant weight should be placed on the need to support economic growth through the planning system (paragraph 80).
- 4.13 The proposed development would result in the creation 6 full time positions and 10 part time positions which is similar to the number of jobs the current unit could create in their existing permitted use. A small cafe area is proposed, and is proportional to the proposed use, a cafe is a reasonable and expected requirement of this type of leisure use and is considered to be ancillary to the proposed use.
- 4.14 The use would add to the leisure opportunities available to the residents of York and approval would support the local economy. The NPPF is supportive of sports and recreation opportunities. The site is in a commercial area and the use of the leisure use would be unlikely to have any material impact on the adjacent occupiers in terms of noise etc.

Application Reference Number: 16/02545/FUL

Page 7 of 11

4.15 The proposal falls within class D2 (Assembly and leisure) of the Use Classes Order. Permitted uses within D2 use class include cinemas; music and concert halls, bingo and dance halls, swimming baths, and skating rinks. Change of use to a different use within the same use class does not normally require planning permission. Whilst the currently proposed use is acceptable in this area - subject to appropriate conditions - the characteristics of other uses in class D2 may make those uses unacceptable. A condition should therefore be attached limiting the planning permission to the current use only and no other use within class D2.

HIGHWAYS AND PARKING

- 4.16 As the car park would be re-organised to provide additional parking spaces (52 in total, with a further 6 available after 17.00 hours) a net gain of 14 spaces. 30 cycle parking spaces would be also be provided. There is a bus service passing the site (No. 10 approx every 30 mins). The site will utilise the existing access from Millfield Lane. The plans and the supporting information indicate there should potentially be sufficient parking for customers at peak times together with some staff parking provision and Highway Network Management agree with this conclusion. It is proposed that all members / staff would be issued with identifiable vehicle stickers matched to vehicle registrations to ensure suitable checking and enforcement can take place onsite. Millfield Lane has double yellow lines preventing vehicle parking. The proposed parking provision is below the CYC Appendix E maximum standards relating to leisure use; however, the applicant has provided parking accumulation figures which indicate that the proposed parking provision would be sufficient for the proposed use.
- 4.17 It is considered reasonable to require a recharging point for electric vehicles within the car park, this can be sought via condition. The site will utilise the existing access from Millfield Lane.

5.0 CONCLUSION

- 5.1 The proposed development would result in the loss of Business use class employment uses from the building. However the physical limitations (low internal height and eaves) of the building limit the future potential for B1, B2, and B8 uses furthermore the site has been marketed for some time with little interest for business uses. The proposed use would provide some employment opportunities. The proposed use would add to the leisure opportunities available to the residents of York and would support the local economy.
- 5.2 The NPPF states planning policies should avoid the long term protection of sites allocated for employment use where there is no reasonable prospect of a site being used for that purpose. Information has been submitted to demonstrate that there is

Application Reference Number: 16/02545/FUL

Page 8 of 11

little prospect of the site being used within the Business use class. On balance it is considered that the change of use of the unit to the proposed use acceptable.

COMMITTEE TO VISIT

6.0 RECOMMENDATION: Approve

- 1 TIME2 Development start within three years
- 2 The development hereby permitted shall be carried out in accordance with the following plans:-

Drawing Number FS001/001 Revision F 'Location and Site Plans' received 14 November 2017:

Drawing Number FS001/020 Revision E 'Proposed Ground Floor Plan' received 19 June 2017:

Drawing Number FS001/021 'Proposed First Floor Plan' received 19 June 2017; Drawing Number FS001/022 'Proposed Elevations' received 19 June 2017;

Figure 1 'Clarification on Designated Parking Areas' received 26 February 2018;

Reason: For the avoidance of doubt and to ensure that the development is carried out only as approved by the Local Planning Authority.

The premises shall be used as a gym and for no other purpose, including any other purpose in Class D2 in the Schedule of the Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 1987 or in any provision equivalent to that Class in any Statutory Instrument revoking and re-enacting that Order.

Reason: Whilst the proposed use is considered acceptable in this area, the characteristics of other uses within class D2 may make such uses unacceptable in terms of highway and parking impacts, the impact on the surrounding road network, the occupants of neighbouring buildings and the character of the area. This condition is therefore required to enable the Local Planning Authority to re-assess alternative uses which, without this condition, may have been carried on without planning permission by virtue of Article 3 of the Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 1987.

- 4 HWAY19 Car and cycle parking laid out
- A minimum of one no. electric vehicle recharge point shall be provided with the parking area. The recharge points should be installed prior to first occupation of the building. The location and specification of the recharge points shall be submitted and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority prior to installation.

INFORMATIVE: Electric Vehicle Charging Points should incorporate a suitably rated Application Reference Number: 16/02545/FUL Page 9 of 11

32A 'IEC 62196' electrical socket to allow 'Mode 3' charging of an electric vehicle. They should also include facilities for 'Mode 2' charging using a standard 13A 3 pin socket. Each Electric Vehicle Charge Points should include sufficient cabling and groundwork to upgrade that unit and to provide for an additional Electrical Vehicle Recharging Point of the same specification, should demand require this in this future. Charging points should be located in a prominent position on the site and should be for the exclusive use of zero emission vehicles. Parking bay marking and signage should reflect this. All electrical circuits/installations shall comply with the electrical requirements of BS7671:2008 as well as conform to the IET code of practice on Electrical Vehicle Charging Equipment installation (2015)."

Reason: To promote the use of low emission vehicles on the site in accordance with the Council's Low Emission Strategy, Air Quality Action Plan and paragraph 110 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

7.0 INFORMATIVES: Notes to Applicant

1. STATEMENT OF THE COUNCIL'S POSITIVE AND PROACTIVE APPROACH

In considering the application, the Local Planning Authority has implemented the requirements set out within the National Planning Policy Framework (paragraph 38) in seeking solutions to problems identified during the processing of the application. The Local Planning Authority took the following steps in order to achieve a positive outcome:

- Requested additional information
- Requested revised plans
- Use of conditions

2. DISPOSAL OF COMMERCIAL WASTE

Section 34 of the Environmental Protection Act 1990 places a duty of care on all producers of controlled waste, i.e. businesses that produce, store and dispose of rubbish. As part of this duty, waste must be kept under proper control and prevented from escaping. Collection must be arranged through a registered waste carrier. It is unlawful to disposal of commercial waste via the domestic waste collection service.

Adequate arrangements are required for proper management and storage between collections.

Section 47 of the Environmental Protection Act 1990

The storage of commercial waste must not cause a nuisance or be detrimental to Application Reference Number: 16/02545/FUL Page 10 of 11

the local area. Adequate storage and collections must be in place. Where the City of York Council Waste Authority considers that storage and/or disposal are not reasonable, formal notices can be served (Section 47 of the Environmental Protection Act 1990). Storage containers cannot be stored on the highway without prior consent of the Highway Authority of City of York Council.

Contact details:

Author: Victoria Bell Development Management Officer

Tel No: 01904 551347

Application Reference Number: 16/02545/FUL Page 11 of 11